

2025:PHHC:027949



S. No.141

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.5379 of 2025
Date of Decision:27.02.2025**

Parveen Kumar

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Peeush Gagneja, Advocate for the petitioner.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this writ petition filed by the petitioner under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondent to release the remaining amount of his service-cum-retirement dues such as Provident Fund, Gratuity, Leave Encashment Allowance and all other consequential benefits along with amount of interest on delayed period in view of law settled by this Court in Full Bench judgment passed in **A.S. Randhawa Vs. State of Punjab and others** and also in **J.S. Cheema Vs. State of Haryana and others, 2014(13) RCR (Civil) 355.**

2. It is contended that petitioner had sent a legal notice dated 07.01.2025 (Annexure P.5) to the respondents but no action has been taken thereon. Prior thereto, speaking order dated 16.12.2024 (Annexure P.4) was passed by the Municipal Council Dhuri, District Sangrur whereby it was stated that the remaining dues of the petitioner shall be released only on receipt of funds from the Municipal Council Sunam.

3. Learned counsel contends that two Municipal Councils, i.e. Dhuri and Sunam are shifting blame upon each other for paying the remaining dues of the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel also submits that the petitioner will be satisfied in case respondent No.2 i.e. Director, Local Government Department, Punjab Municipal

2025:PHHC:027949



CWP No.5379 of 2025 -2-

Bhawan – respondent No.2 is directed to take a call on the legal notice dated 07.01.2025 (Annexure P.5) by passing a speaking order.

5. Notice of motion.

6. Mr. Rajesh Sehgal, Addl. AG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent- State. He has no objection to the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

7. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record of the case.

8. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner in the present petition, respondent No.2 is directed to consider the grievances put forth by the petitioner in legal notice dated 07.01.2025 (Annexure P.5), in accordance with law, by passing a speaking order within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

The petition stands disposed of.

February 27, 2025

renu

(DEEPAK GUPTA)

JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No