

2025:PHHC:136651



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

219

**CRM-M-53917-2025  
Date of decision: 29.09.2025**

RAJ KUMAR ALIAS RAJA ALIAS RAJU .....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB .....Respondent

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ**

\*\*\*\*\*

Present: - Mr. Esh Gupta, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Senior DAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*\*

**VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)**

The instant first petition is for seeking concession of regular bail in case bearing FIR No. 38 dated 28.06.2024 registered under Section 22 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (Section 29 of the NDPS Act, 1985 vide DDR No. 31 dated 30.06.2024 added later on) at Police Station City Banga, District SBS Nagar.

2. Briefly summarized, the facts of the present case are that on 28.06.2024 police party of SI Rakesh Winder apprehended accused Bilas Rishi S/o Virspati Rishi R/o Viroli Chowk, PS Rupoli, District Poorniya, Bihar, presently residing at Village Ladhiya, PS Behram, District SBS Nagar from whose possession 30 loose intoxicating tablets were recovered. On 29.06.2024 said accused was produced before the court and was sent on

police remand. During interrogation, the accused Bilas Rishi made a disclosure statement that the recovered 30 loose intoxicating tablets were purchased by him from the petitioner. Consequently, the petitioner was nominated as an accused in this case vide DDR No. 31 dated 30.06.2024 and offence under Section 29 of NDPS Act was added. The petitioner was arrested on 14.09.2024.

3. Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been nominated as an accused on the basis of disclosure statement by co-accused Bilas Rishi from whose conscious possession 30 loose intoxicating tablets with total content of about 3.51 grams and containing Etizolam had been recovered. He contends that the said co-accused has been granted concession of regular bail by this Court in CRM-M-45925-2025 vide order dated 27.08.2025.

4. Counsel for the respondent-State, on instruction from the Investigating Officer, does not dispute the said aspects.

5. I have heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respective parties.

6. In view of the facts noticed above and taking into consideration the stage of the trial as well as the fact that the co-accused-Bilas Rishi has been granted concession of regular bail by this Court vide order dated 27.08.2025, I deem it appropriate to enlarge the petitioner on regular bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

7. The instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bond/surety bond to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

8. It is made clear that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witnesses in any manner directly or indirectly.

9. The observation made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the Trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of available material.

**SEPTEMBER 29, 2025**

*Vishal Sharma*

**(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)  
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether Reportable : Yes/No