



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

105-A

COCP-2860-2025

Date of Decision: 13.10.2025

Virender Singh

.... Petitioner

Versus

Vikas Gupta and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA

Present: - Mr. Sudhanshu Kaushik, Advocate for
Mr. Daksh Uppal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Kumar, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

NIDHI GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

1. The present Contempt Petition has been filed alleging violation of the order dated 27.01.2025(Annexure P-1) passed in CWP-30833-2024, titled as 'Virender Singh vs. State of Haryana and others' and other connected petition whereby the respondents have been directed to pass an appropriate order upon the representation of the petitioner within a period of two months.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has pointed out on instructions from the petitioner who is present in Court that in pursuance of the above said order, an order dated 19/21.07.2025 (Annexure R-1) has been passed by the respondents. However, in the said order it has wrongly been mentioned that petitioner-Virender Singh has been promoted; whereas in actual fact, the petitioner has not been promoted.



3. Learned counsel for the respondents very fairly admits that the aforesaid submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner are correct; however, on instructions submits that a Corrigendum dated 13.10.2025 in continuation of the order dated 19/21.07.2025 (Annexure R-1) has been issued wherein the said error has been corrected and it is clarified that petitioner, namely, Virender Singh S/o Sh. Rattan Singh (Sr. No. 41) cannot be promoted from the post of Building Inspector to Assistant Engineer.

4. Copy of Corrigendum dated 13.10.2025 handed over in Court by learned counsel for respondents is taken on record. A copy thereof, has been handed over to learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the above, nothing survives in the present petition; and the same may be disposed of, with liberty to the petitioner to challenge the order dated 19/21.07.2025 (Annexure R-1) and Corrigendum dated 13.10.2025, in accordance with law, if so advised.

6. Heard.

7. In view of the above, no further orders are required to be passed in the present petition, and the same stands **disposed of**, with liberty to the petitioner, as aforesaid.

8. Rule stands discharged.

9. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

13.10.2025

rishu

(NIDHI GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No