

2025:PHHC:134807



[235] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-39618-2025
Date of Decision : 25.09.2025

Sunil Singh alias Sunil ...Petitioner

versus

State of PunjabRespondent

Coram : **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ**

Present: Mr. Karandeep S. Dargan, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. J.S. Arora, DAG, Punjab.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

[1] The present petition has been filed under Section 483 BNSS seeking grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.0068 dated 19.04.2024 registered under Section 306 IPC, 1860 at Police Station City-1, Abohar, District Fazilka.

[2] Succinctly, the facts are that the FIR was lodged on the statement of complainant-Bimla, wife of Amar Singh, alleging that about five months prior to the incident, her son Bobby was beaten by Sham @ Sunny, Ramu, Sukhpal Singh @ Happy, Karaka Singh, Sanam, Rajat, Chirag, Sunil and Ajay. The deceased had lodged an FIR against them. It is further alleged that those persons were pressurizing her son to compromise the matter and threatened him with dire consequences in case he failed to do so. Due to such pressure and mental stress, Bobby consumed poison and committed suicide. Request was made to take legal action against the culprits. On registration of the FIR, the investigation commenced. On completion of investigation, the challan was presented and on the framing of



charges, the trial commenced. During investigation, the petitioner was arrested on 20.06.2025. The petitioner approached the Court of learned Sessions Judge, Fazilka, praying for grant of bail. However, after hearing both the sides and finding no merits, the same was declined by learned Sessions Judge, Fazilka vide order dated 15.07.2025. Hence, being aggrieved the petitioner is before this Court by way of filing the present petition praying for grant of bail.

[3] Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He submits that allegations made by the complainant are without any basis. He submits that on bare reading of allegations made in the FIR, offence under Section 306 read with Section 107 IPC are not made out. He further submits that similarly situated co-accused has already been granted anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 08.05.2025 and that the petitioner is not involved in any other case and thus, he deserves to be granted bail.

[4] *Per contra*, learned State Counsel, has opposed the submissions made by counsel for the petitioner. He has submitted that the petitioner was duly named in the FIR and there was already existing dispute between the parties. The investigation is complete and challan has been presented. He submits that the petitioner is in custody since 20.06.2025. He has also placed on record custody certificate of the petitioner.

[5] After hearing learned counsel for the parties and perusing the record, it is deciphered that the petitioner is behind bars since 20.06.2025.



Investigating is complete and challan has already been submitted. Custody certificate of the petitioner shows that the petitioner has suffered incarceration of 03 months & 04 days as on 24.09.2025. It further reflects that the petitioner is not involved in any other case.

[6] This Court would refrain itself from commenting anything on the merits of the case. Keeping in view the arguments raised by both the sides, this Court is of the opinion that learned counsel for the petitioner succeeds in making out a case for grant of regular bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

[7] Nothing said herein shall be treated as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

25.09.2025

'R. Sharma'

Whether speaking/ reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*