



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

123

**CRWP-6087-2025
Date of Decision : June 09, 2025**

HANNY SINGH AND ANOTHER

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ROHIT KAPOOR

Present : Mr. S.K.Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Akhil Kamra, AAG, Punjab.

ROHIT KAPOOR, J. (Oral)

1. Through the instant petition, as filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the petitioners have sought issuance of directions upon the respondents No.1 to 3, to ensure protection of their lives and liberty at the hands of private respondents concerned, and, also to restrain the said private respondents from harassing the petitioners or interfering in their married life.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioners states that since both the petitioners have attained the minimum age, as prescribed by statute, for solemnizing marriage, therefore, they have lawfully solemnized their first marriage, however, it has caused grievance to the private respondents concerned. Such grievance of the private respondents concerned has made them apprehensive of danger to their lives and liberty and resultantly, it has

constrained them to approach this Court, to seek protection of their lives and liberty. The marriage certificate and photographs evidencing marriage of the petitioners are available on record respectively as Annexures P-3 and P-4. The petitioners have also submitted a representation dated 4.6.2025 (Annexure P-5) to the respondent no.2.

3. Notice of motion to the official respondent(s) only.
4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Akhil Kamra, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondent(s).
5. This Court has put a specific query to learned counsel for the petitioners, whether the petitioners are major, and if it is their first marriage, to which he replied in affirmative.
6. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, the instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent no.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran, to decide the representation (Annexure P-5) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their lives and liberty is perceived. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order shall not be understood to have expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners.
7. Disposed of accordingly.

(ROHIT KAPOOR)
JUDGE

June 09, 2025
smriti

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No