IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

130

2025:PHHC:026968

CR-889-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 25.02.2025

S JAGTAR SINGH

..Petitioner

Versus

SIKH GURDWARA JUDICIAL COMMISSION AND ORS

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Ms. Vanita Sapra Kataria, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

1. This revision petition has been filed to assail the correctness of order passed by Sikh Gurdwara Judicial Commission, Amritsar (in short 'Commission') on 26.09.2024.

2. The operative part of the order reads as under:-

> *"Heard. The ld. counsel for petitioner Sh. M.S. Randhawa Advocate made a separate statement that he has no objection if application in hand be allowed subject to costs. Respondent no.2 present in person and made a separate statement that he has no objection if application u/o 1 rule 10 read with section 151 CPC be allowed and receiver be appointed for betterment of Gurdwara Sahib. In view of statement of counsel for petitioner Sh. M.S. Randhawa Advocate and respondent no.2 Sh. Beer Singh Granthi, we hereby allowed the application under order 1 rule 10 read with section 151 CPC for the applicants. The applicants Gurkirat Singh and Harpreet Singh are now impleaded as petitioners no.1 and 2 respectively. The ld. counsel for applicants/petitioners is directed to file amended title of the petition. The application is decided accordingly."*

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the Commission had no jurisdiction to allow impleadment of respondent No.3 and 4 as party

MOHD AYUB
2025.02.27 13:04
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this order/judgment.

in the case, particularly when the original petitioner had prayed for withdrawal of the main petition.

4. This Court has considered the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. It is evident that the order has been passed with the consent of learned counsel for the parties.

6. If the petitioner has any grievance, he may file an application for recall of the order. Since, there is no adjudication by the Tribunal on merits, hence, the petitioner, if so advised, may file an application for recall of order before the Commission.

7. With these observations, the present petition stands disposed of.

8. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

February 25th, 2025 **(ANIL KSHETARPAL)**
Ay **JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No

MOHD AYUB
2025.02.27 13:04
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this order/judgment.