

101+212

2025.PHHC:082712



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-2367-2025 (O&M)

DECIDED ON: 10.07.2025

AMARJEET MAAN

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH.

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J (ORAL)

1. Noticing the earlier order passed by this Court, on 11.02.2025, following order was passed in the instant anticipatory bail petition:-

“1. On 17.01.2025, following order was passed:-

*“Present: Ms. Shivani Jaglan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.*

-.-

1. Present petition has been filed by the petitioner namely Amarjeet Maan, aged about 49 years, seeking grant of anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.388, dated 06.12.2024, under Section(s) 238-C and 305-B of the BNS, registered at Police Station Model Town, District Panipat.

2. Counsel for the petitioner contends that before any damage to the car of the complainant, the same was recovered from Anaj Mandi, and therefore, allegation that the car was initially found in front of the shop of the petitioner, is incorrect.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On advance notice, Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana, puts an appearance on behalf of the respondent/State.

5. *Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Deepak Kumar, P.S. Model Town, District Panipat, informs the Court that as per the CCTV footage, initially car was searched and found in front of the shop of the petitioner, and the workers were ready to separate the parts of the car to make it ready for cutting. Forcibly, the car was taken to the Anaj Mandi, where wife of the petitioner was also found near the car.*

However, learned State counsel seeks some time to file status report in the matter.

6. *List again on 11.02.2025, to file status report in the matter.”*

2. *Today, learned State counsel has filed the status report, which is taken on record, and copy thereof has been handed over to the learned counsel for the petitioner.*

Para No. 4(i) of the status report reads as under:-

“4. That during the course of investigation, IO/HC Wazir joined the concerned persons in investigation. On enquiry, the following relevant persons voluntarily recorded their statements about involvement of the petitioner in the crime:-

i. Mintu S/o Janeshwar stated that he has finance office in

Parshuram Dharamshala Sanjay Colony Panipat. His office covered by cameras installed inside and outside.

On 05/12/2024, a red car was stolen from the street in front of his office and this incident was recorded in his cameras. There is a car repair centre below his office, where boy Vikram works. The petitioner has been seen coming in at around 15:54 in the evening and meeting Vikram mechanic. The same person/petitioner can be seen driving away with red car parked outside his office at around 16:02 minutes with the help of a crane. He came to know that the person who stolen the car is Amarjeet Maan. His statement as R-1 and a photo taken from CCTV footage, showing the petitioner, as R-2 are annexed herewith.

ii. to v. xxx xxx xxx xxx xxx xxx xxx”

3. *Further, as per para No. 5 of the status report, petitioner is also involved in ten other criminal cases.*

4. *Faced with this, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for some time to seek instructions in regard to the facts explained in the status report.*

Adjourned to 24.03.2025.”

2. Learned State counsel has filed status report dated 04.07.2025, in the Court today and the same is taken on record.

3. Learned State counsel, while referring to the status report, informs the Court that departmental inquiry has been ordered against the concerned Investigating Officer for gross negligence and dereliction of duty.

On further instructions received from ASI Anil Kumar, learned State counsel also apprises the Court that petitioner has already been arrested on 12.06.2025.

4. In view of the fact that petitioner has been taken into custody and appropriate action has been initiated against the erring official/Investigating Officer, present petition is disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous.

10.07.2025

Lavisha

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes/No*

Whether reportable *Yes/No*