

2025:PHHC:140447



252.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-27709-2025**

Date of decision: 09.10.2025

Navneet Kaur

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR**

Present: Mr. Sushil Saini, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Anup Singh, AAG, Punjab.

-----

**NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)**

1. This petition has been filed under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for issuance of direction to the official respondents to transfer the further investigation of complaint dated 11.09.2024 (Annexure P-1) submitted by the petitioner against the private respondents to some other investigating agency i.e. CBI or SIT consisting of senior IPS officers other than the senior officers of the District Amritsar and to register case against respondents No.5 to 9 under the appropriate offences in view of contradictory enquiry reports dated 07.02.2025 (Annexure P-2) conducted by SHO, Police Station Jandiala, District Amritsar and another enquiry report dated 21.02.2025 (Annexure P-4) conducted by the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Crime against Women and Child, Amritsar Rural and enquiry report dated 25.03.2025 (Annexure P-7) conducted by the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Local, Amritsar

Rural. Further direction has been sought to the official respondents to not to harass the petitioner and her family at the instance of private respondents.

2. Learned State counsel has produced copy of joint petition filed by the petitioner and respondent No.5 under Section 13-B of Hindu Marriage Act for decree of divorce by mutual consent, and also the statement dated 11.07.2025 of the petitioner recorded before the court of learned Civil Judge (Junior Division) Amritsar, wherein she has stated that they have compromised the matter and received Rs.13 lakhs and she will receive the remaining payment of Rs.13 lakhs at the time of final statement in petition under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act. The same are taken on record.

3. In this view of the matter, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the instant petition.

4. Dismissed as not pressed.

**(NAMIT KUMAR)**  
**JUDGE**

**October 09, 2025**

sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No