



suspicion and on inquiry, he disclosed that the plastic bag thrown by him contained heroin. Thereafter, he himself lifted the bag and handed over to the police. On weighing, the same was found to be containing 10 grams of heroin. Then, from his personal search, Rs.1100/- drug money was also recovered. During investigation, he made disclosure statement, on the basis of which, three more persons i.e. Mangal Singh @ Ghori, Jaspal Singh and Rajan Singh @ Talli (the present petitioner) were nominated as accused in the present FIR. The present petitioner was arrested as co-accused and has approached this Court by way of present petition for grant of regular bail.

Learned counsel for petitioner has contended that the petitioner has been falsely involved in the present case and he was not named in the FIR, but he has been nominated as accused only on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused Gurshabad Singh. As per the disclosure statement of aforesaid co-accused, the present petitioner was also indulged in selling heroin. No other allegation has been levelled against him. Learned counsel further contended that even the aforesaid co-accused, from whose possession the contraband in question was recovered, has already been granted regular bail vide order dated 02.05.2025, passed by learned Principal Magistrate, Juvenile Justice Board, Amritsar as the said co-accused was juvenile. He has further prayed that trial of the case is likely to take time. Therefore, the petitioner be granted concession of regular bail.

Custody Certificate dated 05.08.2025 of the petitioner, filed today in the Court, is taken on record.

Learned State counsel has opposed the bail petition while contending that some other cases are also pending against the petitioner and



he is a habitual offender. So, he does not deserve the concession of bail.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and have also gone through the case file.

As per the Custody Certificate dated 05.08.2025 produced on record, the petitioner has already undergone custody period of 04 months and 23 days. Trial of the case is going on. The conclusion of trial is likely to take some time. So, no useful purpose would be served by further detaining the petitioner behind the bars.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be admitted on regular bail, on furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of concerned learned Trial Judge/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate.

However, nothing observed herein above shall be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case. The observations recorded above are only for the purpose of deciding the present bail petition.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of along with the present petition.

August 11, 2025

monika

**(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE**

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned ?</i>	<i>Yes/No.</i>
<i>Whether reportable ?</i>	<i>Yes/No.</i>