

132 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

INCOMP-686-2025  
Date of Decision:23.04.2025

SEEMA RANI ..... Petitioner

*Versus*

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER ..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL**

Present : Nemo.

\*\*\*\*

**JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)**

1. Lawyers are observing 'no work day'.
2. The aforesaid Civil Writ Petition was filed on 27.09.2024 by Sh. Rajvir Singh Malik, Advocate. The Registry had raised certain objections on the case file on 30.09.2024. The same was not taken back for re-filing after removal of objections.
3. As per Rule 9, Chapter 1, Part-A, Volume V, Punjab and Haryana High Court Rules and Orders, the petition on which objections have been raised by the Registry is to be taken back by the counsel/party, who filed it, to be re-filed within a total period of 40 days. On failure, the case is to be listed before the Court for orders.
4. A note was published by the Registry in the cause list, whereby the Advocates were requested to collect their cases lying with objections. As per office report, message at registered mobile number of the Advocate/his Clerk was also sent, however, nobody has come forward.
5. The instant case is shown in the cause list for today i.e. 23.04.2025, however, no one is present.
6. Keeping in view the aforesaid factual matrix, no further order is required to be passed. The file be consigned to the record.

( JAGMOHAN BANSAL )  
JUDGE

23.04.2025

*Ali*

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No  
Whether Reportable Yes/No