



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-A-223-2024
DECIDED ON: 08.07.2025

NARESH

...APPLICANT/APPELLANT

Versus

RAJPAL

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Ranbir S. Pathania, Advocate for
Mr. Sunil Saharan, Advocate for the appellant.

Ms. Yashika Walia, Advocate for
Mr. Rose Gupta, Advocate for the respondents.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J.(ORAL)

1. The present application has been preferred under Section 378(4) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (hereinafter 'CR.P.C.') seeking grant of leave to appeal the judgment of acquittal dated 08.01.2024 passed by the learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Siwani, District Bhiwani in a case stemming from complaint dated 25.11.2019 filed under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 (hereinafter 'NI Act').

2. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in *M/s Celestium Financial vs. A. Gnanasekaran Etc., 2025(3) RCR (Criminal) 208*, after considerable discussion and comparative interpretation of Sections 372 and 378(4) of Cr.P.C., concluded that the victim has a right to file an appeal under Section 372 of Cr.P.C. before the Court of Sessions.

3. Therefore, in view of the judgment rendered by the Apex Court in *Celestium Financial (supra)*, the present application seeking leave to appeal is remanded back to the learned Sessions Judge, Bhiwani with a direction to treat the same as filed under Section 372 of the Cr.P.C. and entrust it to appropriate Court for its disposal.

4. The Registry is directed to send the complete paper-book and the record of the case to the learned Sessions Judge, Bhiwani forthwith.

5. Disposed of accordingly.

6. Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

08.07.2025
Meenu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No