



209 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-42590-2024

Date of Decision: 28.01.2025

Usha Rani

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Onkar Singh Batalvi, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Vijesh Sharma, Addl. AG, Haryana.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the present petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in a case FIR No.324 dated 18.07.2024, registered under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471, 506, 120-B IPC at Police Station City, District Bhiwani.
2. By virtue of order dated 21.10.2024, while granting interim protection, the petitioner was directed to join the investigation.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation and fully cooperated with the same and thus, the interim order may kindly be made absolute.
4. Reply by way of affidavit of Aryan Chaudhary, HPS, Dy. Supdt. of Police, Head Quarter, Bhiwani filed in Court is taken on record. On instructions from ASI Kuldeep Singh, he has affirmed the fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation. However, he has submitted that recovery of amount alleged to have been embezzled, is yet to be effected from the petitioner and she is also involved in two more cases.
5. After hearing learned counsel for the parties, this Court finds that recovery of amount in itself cannot be a ground for denial of



anticipatory bail, however, the trial Court would proceed with the trial in accordance with law. Hence, the present petition is allowed and the order dated 21.10.2024 is made absolute subject to compliance of conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

28.01.2025

sharmila

Whether Speaking/Reasoned

Whether Reportable

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)

JUDGE

: Yes/No

: Yes/No