

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

223

**ARB No. 216 of 2018
Date of Decision: 15.12.2022**

PANKAJ KAUSHIK

.....Petitioner

Vs

KENDRIYA VIDYALAYA SANGHATAN AND ORS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Harsh Aggarwal, Advocate
for the respondents.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Vide order dated 10.10.2022, learned counsel for the petitioner sought time in order to show any authentic proof of sending notice of invocation dated 17.05.2018 to the respondents.

The respondents have denied receipt of notice of invocation dated 17.05.2018 in the written statement. No replication has been filed by the petitioner to counter the aforesaid stand of the respondents.

On 18.11.2022, the following order was passed:-

“Arguing counsel for the petitioner is stated to be out of station. Last opportunity is granted to learned counsel for the petitioner to address arguments, failing which, this case shall be dismissed for non prosecution.

Learned counsel for the petitioner would be

under legal obligation to answer the query put forth by this Court on 10.10.2022.

List again on 15.12.2022.”

None has appeared on behalf of the petitioner.

In view of order dated 18.11.2022, this Court has no alternative, but to dismiss the case for non prosecution.

Accordingly, this petition is dismissed for non prosecution.

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

December 15, 2022

anita

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No