

2025:PHHC:119624



[294] **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-20077-2025
Decided on : 03.09.2025**

Aman and othersPetitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Sukhdev Singh, Advocate for Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Jhanda, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Ms. Tanmay Kadian, Advocate for respondent Nos.2 & 3.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. Instant petition has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS, seeking quashing of the below detailed First Information Report (FIR), and all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of the compromise dated NIL (P-2), effected between the parties.

DETAILS OF CRIMINAL CASE:-

FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station
0021	23.01.2025	115, 126, 190, 191(3) and 351(3) of BNS, 2023	Sanoli, District Panipat

2. Vide order dated 28.04.2025, the affected parties were directed to appear before the learned Trial Court/Illaq Magistrate/Duty Magistrate/Area Magistrate, for getting their respective statements recorded with regard to the compromise.

3. Report dated 21.05.2025 has since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Samalkha, in pursuance to the



directions of this Court wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report(s) compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the private respondents have also made statement to the effect that they would have no objection if the FIR qua the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, along with its report. The relevant part of the said report is reproduced herebelow:

Sr. No.	Description	
1.	Total number of persons found involved as accused in the dispute/FIR	Eleven accused
2.	Number of complainant/victim(s)	Two victims/complainants
3.	Whether all the accused and complainant / victims are party to compromise & signed the same	Yes
4.	In case, any affected person(accused or complainant) is left out or not arrayed as party in the quashing petition before High Court, detail whereas; Or His/her statement is till to be recorded, in compliance to the direction of this Court, details of such person	No complainant/accused has been left out in the petition and all have been arrayed as party in the petition.



5.	Whether any accused has been declared as a proclaimed offender/person or any such proceedings against him/her have been initiated or pending adjudication	No
6.	Report of the Court whether compromise is genuine, voluntary, and without any coercion or undue influence	Yes
7.	Any other aspect relevant to the present case.	<p>11 persons were alleged to be involved as accused in the dispute/FIR.</p> <p>04 accused namely Aman, Ritik, Mukesh and Sanjeev were arrested.</p> <p>07 accused namely Gourav, Parveen @ Bittu, Kamal @ Chintu, Jagmer, Sangeet, Sunil Kumar and Ajmer @ Sandeep were found to be innocent.</p>

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and the private respondents are the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Amritsar, and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

7. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

2025:PHHC:119624



CRM-M-20077-2025

-4-

8. Petition stands disposed of.

03.09.2025

'R. Sharma'

**(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE**

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: **YES/NO**
Whether Reportable: **YES/NO**