



CRM-M-49683-2025

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

215-2

**CRM-M-49683-2025
Date of decision: 14.10.2025**

JASWINDER SINGH

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL

Present:- Mr. S.S. Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Shukla, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. H.S.Mann, Advocate for respondent No.2.

RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the present petition(s) filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner(s) in case FIR No.101 dated 12.07.2025 registered under Sections 140, 318 and 61 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, at Police Station Anaj Mandi, District Patiala.

2. On 23.09.2025, following order had been passed: -

“Prayer in the present petition(s) filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner(s) in case FIR No.101 dated 12.07.2025 registered under Sections 140, 318 and 61 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, at Police Station Anaj Mandi, District Patiala.

Status report filed by learned State counsel, in Court today, is taken on record.



Brief facts as per the prosecution case are that the petitioners in connivance with each other kidnapped the complainant on gun point and prepared forged documents and misappropriated huge amount to the tune of Rs.2 crores.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner(s) have been falsely implicated in the present case. He argued that the present FIR has been lodged as a counter blast to the proceedings initiated in Canada against respondent No.2. It is pointed out that on 05.05.2025, the Manitoba Labour and Immigration Department, Canada, passed an order directing respondent No.2 to pay CAR 2,37,325.59 to Jaspreet Kaur (daughter-in-law of Inderjit Singh (petitioner), Dapinder Singh (son of co-accused Jaswinder Singh) and Navjot Singh and Sukhpreet Singh (relatives of the petitioner), for harassing them and extracting illegal money.

It is further contended that in order to pressurize the petitioner and his family to settle the matter, respondent No.2 lodged false complaints in India against the petitioner and his relatives. Earlier complaints were duly inquired into by the police and were filed as no offence was made out. He further submits that earlier on 03.09.2024 Inderjit Singh (Petitioner in CRMM 49691/2025) filed complaint against Respondent No.2 which was withdrawn by the petitioner on the basis of a written settlement executed on 04.10.2024 in the presence of respectables. Despite this, respondent No.2 continued to misuse blank cheques earlier taken from the petitioner and initiated proceedings under Section 138 of the NI Act.

Learned counsel further argued that the allegations in the FIR are wholly false and frivolous. There was no occasion for the petitioner or his co-accused to kidnap the complainant. At no stage did the petitioner or his co-accused ever attempted to kidnap him, nor did they prepare or execute any agreement as alleged in the FIR. The present occurrence is alleged to have taken place on 03.09.2024, whereas the complaint was filed



much later on 26.08.2025, after an unexplained delay of about ten months, which itself casts serious doubt on the prosecution story. Learned counsel has further submitted that the petitioner(s) is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so by the investigating agency.

On the other hand, learned State counsel while referring to the status report, has opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail, by submitting that the allegations levelled against the petitioner are serious in nature.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2/complainant, while opposing the petition for anticipatory bail, has contended that the petitioner(s) have played an active role in the crime and, thus, does not deserve the concession of bail.

List on 14.10.2025.

In the meantime, the petitioners are directed to join investigation within a week from today and would appear as and when required by the Investigating Officer and cooperate with the Investigating Agency. In the event of arrest, they shall be admitted to interim bail on furnishing of bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioners shall also abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the files of other connected case.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 23.09.2025 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

4. Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Nirmal Singh has submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no longer required for further investigation.



CRM-M-49683-2025

5. In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, the interim order dated 23.09.2025 is made absolute. The petitioner shall continue to join investigation, as and when called by the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

(RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL)
JUDGE

14.10.2025

Mohit Bishnoi

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |