

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

118

INCOMP-908-2025

Date of Decision: 21.05.2025

Karnail Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: None for the petitioner.

Ms. Navreet Kaur Barnala, Asst. AG, Punjab.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

The aforesaid petition was filed on 13.09.2024 by Mr. Raman Kumar, Advocate. The Registry had raised objection on the case file on 16.09.2024.

2. Counsel for the State on instructions from ASI Sham Singh, submits that in the investigation conducted till date, petitioner is not named in the FIR, as such present petition is rendered infructuous.

3. As per Rule 9, Chapter 1, Part-A, Volume V, Punjab and Haryana High Court Rules and Orders, the petition on which objections have been raised by the Registry is to be taken back by the counsel/party, who filed it, to be re-filed within a total period of 40 days. The same was not taken back for re-filing after removal of objections. On failure, the case is to be listed before the Court for orders. Note was published by the Registry in the cause list, whereby the advocates were requested to collect their all cases lying with objections for re-filing after removal of objections.

4. It was further notified that all pending cases of this type will be listed in Court for appropriate order. The case was shown in the cause list. None appeared on behalf of the petitioner. Keeping in view the aforesaid factual matrix, no further order is required to be passed and the same is disposed of.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

21.05.2025

anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable?

Yes
No