

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCP No. 1949 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 24.01.2018**

Kamlesh alias Seema

..... Petitioner

Versus

Haneef Quarashi, Commissioner of Police,
Faridabad and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present: Mr. Surinder Singh Walia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. D.K. Mittal, Deputy Advocate General, Hayrana
for the respondents.

JASWANT SINGH, J. (ORAL)

In the criminal petition bearing CRM-M No. 33504 of 2016 filed by the petitioner, this Court, vide order dated 21.09.2016 (**Annexure P-1**), passed the following operative directions:-

“ Keeping in view the prayer made in the petition, without adverting to the merits of the case, at this stage, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3, to decide and consider the representation, Annexure P-2, by passing a speaking order, expeditiously, preferably within a period of three weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. ”

Since the needful was not done within the prescribed time, hence the present contempt petition.

Upon notice, a reply has been filed wherein it is stated that in compliance of the order dated 21.09.2016 (P-1), a speaking order dated 21.08.2017 (Annexure RT-2/1) has been passed and the same has been conveyed to the petitioner, therefore, counsel for the respondents states that the present petition has become infructuous.

Learned counsel for the petitioner concedes the aforesaid factual position.

In view of the above, no further action in the present contempt petition is warranted, therefore, the same stands **dismissed as infructuous**.

January 24, 2018

'dk kamra'

**(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No