

2025:PHHC:028977



208-u **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

COCP-1004-2025 (O&M)
Decided on:-28.02.2025

Gurmit Singh

....Petitioner..

vs.

Sh. Rajesh Kumar Singh (IAS), Secretary,
Ministry of Defence, New Delhi and others

....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Rajesh Sehgal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARKESH MANUJA J. (Oral)

1. Notice of motion.
2. Ms. Bhavna Datta, Advocate appears on behalf of respondents No.1, 2 and 4 (through video conferencing), whereas, Mr. Sourav Verma, Advocate with Mr. Anshul Pareek, Advocate appears on behalf of respondent No.3, who upon advance instructions from quarter concerned submits that the payment shall be released to the petitioner within a period of three weeks from today.
3. In view thereof, learned counsel for the petitioner does not intend to press the present petition.
4. Dismissed as not pressed. Rule stands discharged.
5. However, in case the needful is not done within the afore-stated period, as per undertaking, the petitioner would be at liberty to seek revival of the present contempt petition and in that eventuality, the erring

/concerned officer(s) would be liable to pay additional sum of Rs.50,000/- as costs from his/her own pocket in favour of the petitioner towards litigation expenses, immediately, i.e. on the first date of listing of revival application, if any.

6. Pending miscellaneous application, if any, shall also stands disposed of.

28.02.2025

sonika

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/ No

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE