



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

103

RSA-2064-2000

Decided on:25.02.2025

State of Punjab and others

. . . Appellants

Versus

Gurdial Ram

. . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: Mr. S. S. Hira, DAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*\*

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. On 29.11.2023, a Coordinate Bench of this Court has been pleased to pass the following order:-

*“Present: Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG Punjab.*

\*\*\*\*\*

*Learned State counsel prays for a short accommodation to apprise the Court as to whether the judgment passed by Lower Appellate Court has been implemented, in absence of any interim order in this cases as the direction was issued to refund the deductions made in pursuance to the impugned order, challenged in the suit.*

*Adjourned to 07.12.2023.*

*29.11.2023”*

2. Learned counsel for the appellants, on instructions from Gupreet Singh, Superintendent, has submitted that the respondent has resigned from the service on 29.05.2002 and has not come to the office of the concerned department to raise any claim with respect to the judgment of the Appellate Court and has submitted that in view of the same, the present appeal may be disposed of at this stage but liberty may be granted to the



appellant to revive the appeal, in case, the respondent approaches the concerned department claiming rights under the judgment of the first Appellate Court.

3. In view of the above, the present appeal is disposed of at this stage with the aforesaid liberty.

25.02.2025

*Mehak*

*Whether reasoned/speaking?  
Whether reportable?*

*Yes/~~No~~  
~~Yes~~/No*

**(VIKAS BAHL)  
JUDGE**