

2025:PHHC:003203



131

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

\*\*\*\*

**CWP-30844-2024**

**Date of Decision: 13.01.2025**

Om Parkash

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSH BUNGER**

Present: Mr. Surinder Pal Tinna, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Navneet Singh, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Gaurav Goel, Advocate and  
Mr. Teginder Singh, Advocate  
for respondent No.13-PNB.

\*\*\*\*\*

**HARSH BUNGER J. (ORAL)**

The present writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, *inter alia*, seeking a writ in the nature of Mandamus for directing the official respondents No. 1 to 3 to conclude the partition proceedings by delivery of possession in pursuant to the *Sanad Takseem* dated 09.08.2019 (Annexure P-1).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner had filed an application seeking partition of joint land comprised in Khewat No.462, as per the *Jamabandi* for the year 2001-02 situated at Village Daulatpura, Tehsil Abohar, District Fazilka, which culminated into the

issuance of *Sanad Takseem* (Instrument of partition) dated 09.08.2019 (Annexure P-1). It is submitted that the partition proceedings were subjected to appeal/revision and ultimately the matter came before the learned Financial Commissioner, Punjab, in revision petition (ROR-557-2019), wherein initially stay was granted, however, the revision petition was subsequently dismissed for non prosecution on 22.11.2023 (Annexure P-5). According to the petitioner, the aforesaid revision petition has not been restored till date nor any such application for restoration of the revision petition is either filed/pending.

3. At this stage, learned counsel appearing for respondents-State, on instructions, from, Mr. Fakir Chand, Reader to Assistant Collector, First Grade-cum-Tehsildar, Khuian Sarwar, Tehsil Abohar, District Fazilka, submits that the partition proceedings would be concluded by the concerned Assistant Collector within a period of four weeks from today, subject to any legal impediment and/or any orders passed by the higher authorities in respect of the partition proceedings.

4. Keeping in view the aforesaid submissions made by learned counsel for the State, learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that he does not intend to press the instant writ petition any further, at this stage.

5. Ordered accordingly.

6. All pending application(s), if any, shall also stand closed.

**13.01.2025**  
*Pd*

**(HARSH BUNGER)**  
**JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No