



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

113

**COCP No.2588 of 2025 (O & M)****Date of decision :-22.05.2025****Dr. Akalpreet Singh and others****.....Petitioners****Versus****Sh. Kumar Rahul, IAS, Administrative Secretary, Govt. of  
Punjab and others****.....Respondents****CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA**

Present:- Mr. Gurpreet Singh, Advocate for  
Mr. H.P.S. Ishar, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Manmeet Singh Teji, AAG Punjab.

**NIDHI GUPTA J. (Oral)**

Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) submits that contempt proceedings be initiated against the respondent(s) for not complying the order dated 20.12.2024 (Annexure P-1) passed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in CWP-35024-2024 titled as “Dr. Akalpreet Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and others”, vide which the petition was disposed of on the statement made by learned counsel for the State that “...in case, the legal notice dated 01.10.2024 (Annexure P-2), has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of 08 weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order.



*Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioners, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioners in the speaking order to be passed and the said order will be conveyed to the petitioners for their information and necessary action.”*

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from Shri Gurpreet Singh, Sr. Assistant, Director, Health Services, Punjab, submits that the order dated 20.12.2024 (Annexure P-1) shall be complied within eight weeks from today.

In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioner(s) does not press the present petition.

**Dismissed as not pressed.**

Rule stands discharged.

However, in case the needful is not done, as per undertaking, the petitioner(s) would be at liberty to seek revival of the present contempt petition and in that eventuality, the erring/concerned officer(s) would be liable to pay additional sum of Rs.50,000/- as costs from his/her own pocket in favour of the petitioner(s) towards litigation expenses, immediately, i.e. on the first date of listing of revival application, if any.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stands disposed of.

May 22, 2025

Vijay Asija

( NIDHI GUPTA )

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes / No

Whether Reportable Yes / No