VARINDER SINGH
2016.03.29 10:17
I attest to the accuracy and integrity of this document
Punjab & Haryana High Court at Chandigarh

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No. 1194 of 2015 (O&M)

Gurnam Singh .. Petitioner

versus

Darshan Kaur and another .. Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bindal

Present: Mr. Shiv Charan Bhola, Advocate for
Mr. H. B. S. Baidwan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Sunny Singla, Advocate, for the respondents.

Rajesh Bindal, J.

The present contempt petition has been filed alleging that the order passed by this Court on 3.4.2014 in RSA No. 5384 of 2012 has not been complied with.

In the aforesaid appeal, after the matter was settled, the respondents were directed to pay a sum of ₹ 3,50,000/-with interest @ 6% per annum from 3.3.2005 upto 31.7.2014. In case of failure, the petitioner was also given liberty to initiate contempt proceedings in addition to execution of decree. The petitioner filed execution as well as the present contempt petition. From the order passed by the executing Court on 27.11.2015, vide which the execution was disposed of as partly satisfied, it is evident that the claim of the petitioner regarding interest with effect from 4.2.2011 is outstanding.

The stand of the respondents is that for recovery of the balance amount, the petitioner got the property of the respondents attached and sold. Even the possession thereof has also been delivered to them.

Considering the aforesaid development, in my opinion, nothing survives in the present contempt petition. The same is dismissed.

22.3.2016 (Rajesh Bindal)
vs Judge