



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

215

Date of decision:30.09.2025

1. **CWP No.5394 of 2021 (O&M)**

ANJUM HUSSAIN

.... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.... Respondents

2. **CWP-5996-2021 (O&M)**

AMAR CHAND AND ANOTHER

.... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.... Respondents

3. **CWP-14705-2021 (O&M)**

NIRMAL SINGH AND OTHERS

.... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.... Respondents

4. **CWP-8559-2021 (O&M)**

BEANT KAUR AND OTHERS

.... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present : Mr. Ishnoor Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate for the petitioner(s)
in CWPs No.5394, 5996 and 8559 of 2021.

Ms. Vaishali Kamboj, Advocate for the petitioners
in CWP No.14705 of 2021.

**CWP No.5394 of 2021 (O&M) and other connected cases**

-2-

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. A.G., Haryana and
Mr. P.P Chahar, Sr. D.A.G., Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (oral)

1. This order shall dispose of a bunch of aforesaid 4 petitions as the issue involved in these petitions are identical in nature.
2. In the present petition, the challenge is to the notification dated 23.02.2021 by which, the Gram Panchayat was bifurcated into two villages/Gram Panchayats.
3. Learned counsel for the respondents submit that the similar notification issued qua another Gram Panchayat, which was also bifurcated was challenged in **CWP No.7428 of 2021** titled as ***Balwan and another vs. State of Haryana and others***, which was decided on 18.11.2021 upholding the said notification, bifurcating the Gram Panchayat into two Gram Panchayats.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioners have not been able to dispute the abovesaid fact.
5. Further, it may be noticed that creation of a Gram Panchayat is legislative function and unless and until, the question of jurisdiction is raised, no interference can be done by this Court.
6. Further, learned counsel for the petitioners have not been able to dispute the fact that before dividing the Gram Panchayat concerned, the notification inviting objection was called for and none of the Gram Panchayats raised any objection. Once, the objection to the proposed notification so as to

**CWP No.5394 of 2021 (O&M) and other connected cases**

-3-

bifurcate, was not raised by anyone, after the finalization of the said notification, grievance cannot be raised. Even otherwise, the Gram Panchayats after bifurcating are working for the last more than 3 years and elections have already been held for the said Gram Panchayats.

7. Keeping in view the totality when the same question of law has already been decided in *Balwan's case (supra)*, the present petitions are also dismissed on the grounds mentioned therein along with the facts mentioned hereinabove.

8. Pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

9. A photocopy of this order be placed on the files of other connected cases.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

September 30, 2025

Jyoti-90

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes.
Whether reportable : No