



ARB-369-2025 (O&M)

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

281

ARB-369-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 17.07.2025

M/s Jain Associates

...Applicant

Versus

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: - Mr. Jatinder Pal Singh, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Raman Sharma, Additional Advocate General, Haryana

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. Through instant application under Section 11(6) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (for short '1996 Act'), the applicant is seeking appointment of an Arbitrator.

2. Pursuant to tender, the applicant was allotted work by the respondent. Thereafter, purchase order dated 04.11.2022 was issued by the respondent to applicant. A dispute erupted between the parties. There is an arbitration clause in the purchase order. The applicant served notice upon the respondent seeking resolution of dispute through Arbitral Tribunal but to no avail.

3. Learned State counsel expressed his inability to controvert existence of arbitration clause in purchase order and service of notice.



ARB-369-2025 (O&M)

-2-

4. Conditions to invoke power conferred by Section 11(6) of 1996 Act stand satisfied, thus, I hereby appoint a Sole Arbitrator to adjudicate the dispute between the parties.

5. Ms. Justice Navita Singh, Retired Judge of this Court, residing at Flat No.309, 9th Floor, Jaishree Towers, Swastik Vihar, Mansa Devi Complex, Sector 5, Panchkula, Mobile No.8558809911 is hereby appointed as a Sole Arbitrator to adjudicate the dispute between the parties, subject to compliance of statutory requirements. The learned Arbitrator is requested to comply with mandate of Section 12 of 1996 Act before proceeding further.

6. The parties at the first instance will appear before the Arbitrator on 01.08.2025 at 10:00 AM and thereafter, as directed by learned Arbitrator.

7. The Arbitrator shall be paid fee in accordance with the Fourth Schedule of the 1996 Act, as amended.

8. The Arbitrator is requested to complete the proceedings as per time limit specified under Section 29-A of the 1996 Act.

9. Needless to mention, parties would be at liberty to raise all the claims/defences/counter claims/pleas before the Arbitrator. Any observation made hereinabove will not be binding on the learned Arbitrator.

10. A request letter along with copy of this order be sent to Ms. Justice Navita Singh.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

17.07.2025

Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No