



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

129

CWP-6612-2025Date of Decision: 10.03.2025

Teja Singh

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

U.T., Chandigarh and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. M.S. Yadav, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anil Sharma, Mr. Rohit Kaushik, and
Mr. Prateek Rathee, Advocates,
for U.T., Chandigarh.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents to pay him interest on the delayed payment of retiral dues.

2. Mr. Anil Sharma and Mr. Prateek Rathee, Advocates, who on advance notice are present, submit that petitioner has remedy to approach Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh.

3. Mr. Yadav expressed his inability to controvert the above said fact.

4. Dismissed with liberty to approach Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh.

10.03.2025
shivani

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking
Whether reportable

Yes
No