

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

COCP No.1383 of 2015
Date of Decision: 06.10.2015

Prahlad

. . . .Petitioner

Versus

Nav Raj Sandhu and another

. . . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: Mr.S.S. Sahu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.Deepak Manchanda, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)

When the writ petition was disposed of on 21.11.2012, the Court has observed that *“the inquiry has been placed on record and it is stated that respondent No.4 has been found blame worthy. The action on the inquiry report has not to follow.”* The writ petition was thus, thereafter disposed of with a direction to take appropriate action on the basis of the inquiry report, in accordance with law.

Learned counsel for the respondents has submitted that on the basis of inquiry report, the order has been passed by the Deputy Commissioner, Sirsa for recovery of ₹15,350/- from the Ex-Sarpanch Ram Murthi.

It is a separate thing that Ram Murti has also been prosecuted under the provisions of Indian Penal Code and some FIR has been registered against him which will take in its own course. However, insofar as the present petition is concerned, it has outlived its life as the order passed by this Court has been complied with.

Dismissed.

Rule discharged.

The petitioner may, if so advised, challenge the order passed by the Deputy Commissioner, Sirsa, in accordance with law.

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE

06.10.2015

Vivek