



CWP-20759-2025

-1-

113 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

\*\*\*\*

CWP-20759-2025

Date of Decision: 23.07.2025

Rajesh Kumar Verma

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of U.T. Chandigarh and Ors.

...Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL**

Present:- Ms. Menka Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Pritpal Nijjar, Additional Standing Counsel UT with

Mr. Abhishek Kumar, Junior Panel Counsel U.T.

\*\*\*

**JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)**

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent to provide him table, wooden chairs, almirah, A-4 and legal size papers, calculator, T-pin, jug, glass, poker, wooden bench and carbon papers.

2. The petitioner is claiming that he is a 70% physically disabled person. The respondent is not providing him aforesaid facilities despite repeated requests.

3. Learned counsel for the respondent who on advance notice is present in the Court submits that the petitioner is regularly provided stationery, furniture & fixtures and other articles for daily use.

4. I have heard learned counsel for parties and perused the

record.

5. The claim of petitioner is not sustainable. The prayer of the petitioner is very strange. If this Court entertains petitions like the present one, the Court would be inundated. Providing table, chair or stationery is a purely administrative work. It is not the job of the Court under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India to ask the senior officials to provide aforesaid articles to the subordinates.

6. In the wake of above factual position, this Court is of the considered opinion that the instant petition deserves to be dismissed and accordingly dismissed.

**(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)**  
**JUDGE**

**23.07.2025**

*Deepak DPA*

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No