



CWP-3744-2025

(1)

**In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh**

[149]

**CWP-3744-2025****Date of Decision: 10.02.2025**

SURENDER SINGH

..... PETITIONER

VERSUS

SUB-DIVISIONAL OFFICER (CIVIL) AUTHORIZED ASSISTANT  
COLLECTOR FIRST CLASS, PATAUDI AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR  
HON'BLE MR JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**Present: Mr. Satyam Tandon, Advocate for the  
petitioner.

\*\*\*\*

**SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)**

1. The petitioner is aggrieved from the verdict of eviction (Annexure P-5), and, since the period of limitation prescribed for making an appeal thereagainst has expired, therefore, the petitioner apprehends that the verdict of eviction would be forthwith executed, as the warrants of possession (Annexure P-6) have already been issued.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions imparted to him, submits that though the apposite appeal is time barred, but he submits that the statutory statutory appeal against verdict of eviction (Annexure P-5), shall be filed within a period of one week from today.

3. If the said statutory appeal is time barred, thereupon, on an application cast under Section 5 of the Limitation Act, 1963, become appended therewith, thus, a lawful order shall be passed thereons, whereafter, the relevant statutory appeal shall be registered and shall be lawfully decided, but after hearing all affected persons concerned.

4. Till the filing of the time barred statutory appeal, the parties are also directed to maintain *status quo*, as exists today, in respect of the subject property/premises, but the said statutory appeal shall be positively decided



CWP-3744-2025

(2)

within a period of two months from today, but after hearing all affected persons concerned.

5. The instant petition stands disposed of accordingly alongwith all pending application(s), if any.

**(SURESHWAR THAKUR)**  
**JUDGE**

**(VIKAS SURI)**  
**JUDGE**

**10.02.2025**

Anjal

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/N