

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

2025:PHHC:090344



208

**CRA-S-1740-2025 (O&M)**  
**Date of Decision: 22.07.2025.**

Ravi Kumar

...Appellant.

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents.

\*\*\*

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR**

.....

Present: Mr. Sandeep Kumar Yadav, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Garg, DAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*

**SUKHVINDER KAUR, J. (Oral)**

Appellant Ravi Kumar has filed appeal against impugned order dated 10.02.2025 passed by Additional Sessions Judge, Narnaul, vide which his anticipatory bail application in FIR No.192 dated 06.06.2024, under Sections 148, 149, 323, 379-B, 506 of IPC and Section 3(2) (v) SC/ST, Act (offence under Section 325 IPC added later on and Section 379-B IPC deleted), registered at Police Station Sadar, Narnaul, has been dismissed.

As per the facts of the case a written complaint was filed by Sunil, that on 05.06.2024, his father Ajaypal was coming with Super Carry Vehicle No.HR-63B-3152 and he parked his vehicle near Government School of their village and started talking to Mohan and Naresh. In the meantime, 5-6 boys on motorcycles came there and started giving beating to his father with lathi and danda. They also abused him by caste and also snatched Rs.30,000/- and then fled away from there.

Learned counsel for the appellant has contended that false facts have been narrated in the FIR and the appellant has been falsely implicated in the present case. No specific injury or specific words have been attributed to the appellant. Learned counsel for the appellant has further submitted that the appellant is ready and willing to join the investigation. The impugned order is based upon conjectures and surmises and is liable to be set aside.

Learned counsel representing the State filed status report and contended that the present appellant along with co-accused gave beating to father of the complainant and also abused him by caste. As custodial interrogation of the appellant was required to complete the investigation, so prayer for the anticipatory bail had been rightly declined by the trial Court.

I have considered the arguments and have gone through the record carefully.

Only vague and general allegations have been levelled against the petitioner regarding abusing by caste. Neither any specific words have been quoted that are attributed to the appellant nor any specific injury has been attributed to the appellant. Vide order dated 22.05.2025, the appellant was released on interim bail, subject to joining the investigation.

In the status report submitted by learned State counsel, it has been alleged in Para No.11 that the appellant had joined the investigation before the Investigating Officer on 16.07.2025 and had co-operated with the Investigating Officer at the time of joining the investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required in the present case as no recovery is to be effected from him.

Considering the aforesaid facts, the anticipatory bail application filed by the appellant was wrongly rejected by learned

Additional Sessions Judge, Narnaul by passing the impugned order dated 10.02.2025. Appellant is ready to join the investigation as and when required. Therefore, appeal preferred by the appellant is allowed and the relief, as extended to the appellant by this Court vide order dated 22.05.2025, is hereby made absolute.

However, the appellant shall continue to join the investigation as and when required/ called upon to do so and shall also strictly abide by all the conditions as laid down in Section 482(2) BNSS.

**(SUKHVINDER KAUR)**  
**JUDGE**

**22.07.2025.**

*Komal*

Whether speaking/reasoned? : Yes/ No  
Whether reportable? : Yes/ No