



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

(274)

**COCP No.2401 of 2025**

**Date of Decision: 17.07.2025**

**Vandana**

**...Petitioner**

**Versus**

**Chander Shekhar Khare and another**

**...Respondents**

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

Present: Mr. Vineet Sehgal, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Dr. Jasmine Gill, Advocate  
for Mr. H.S.Gill, Advocate  
for respondent-HSVP

\*\*\*\*

**VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J (ORAL)**

1. Compliance affidavit of Ms. Anupma Malik, Estate Officer-II, HSVP, Gurugram, has been filed today in Court on behalf of the respondents. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. One Sanjay Gupta and Surinder Kumar Goyal instituted CWP No. 4491 of 2025 seeking allotment of an alternate plot on the basis of Policy dated 06.08.2024. The said writ petition was disposed of vide order dated 10.03.2025 (Annexure P-1) and directions were given to the respondents to decide the representation dated 07.01.2025 within a period of two months.

3. It appears that during the interregnum, the plot in question was purchased by the present petitioner. Since the directions had not been complied with, the present contempt petition was preferred.

4. In the reply filed in Court today, it has been averred that approval for allotment of alternate plot has been received from the Competent Authority vide communication dated 15.07.2025 (Annexure R-1). It has further been averred that the case for fixing the date of online draw of lots has been sent to the office of the Administrator, HSVP, Gurugram, vide communication dated 15.07.2024 (Annexure R-2). It has been averred that accordingly, alternate plot will be offered shortly.

5. Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that the online draw of lots is only for the purpose of allotting specific plot number.

6. That being so, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that no grievance subsists at this stage and that the present contempt petition be disposed of in terms of the reply filed in Court today.

7. Ordered accordingly. Rule stands discharged.

**(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)**  
**JUDGE**

**July 17, 2025**  
Rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No