



CRM-M-33151-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

243

CRM-M-33151-2025

Date of decision : 07.07.2025

Harwinder Singh @ Jhati

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL**

Present:- Mr. Shiv Kumar Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amandeep Singh Samra, AAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*

**H.S. Grewal, J.(Oral)**

1. This petition has been filed for regular bail under Section 483 of BNSS in case FIR No.0036 dated 14.02.2025, under Sections 109, 126(2), 115(2), 351(2) & 3(5) of BNS, 2023 (Sections 61(2), 232, 118(1) & 118(2) of BNS added later on), registered at Police Station City Sangrur.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the case of the prosecution is that the petitioner, who was carrying a sword, had given a blow on the left side of the head of the son of the complainant, namely, Abhivir while another co-accused Gagandeep Singh @ Gagan had given a sword blow on right side of the head of injured-Abhivir with an intention to kill him. The petitioner alongwith co-accused are also alleged to have given various other injuries to the injured-Abhivir. Learned counsel, however, submits that the injuries are declared simple in nature and a compromise dated 03.06.2025 (Annexure P-5) has been effected between the parties. The petitioner is in custody since 21.02.2025. He also submits and the trial is likely to take a long time as only challan has been presented, therefore, the petitioner be released on regular bail.



**CRM-M-33151-2025**

**-2-**

3. Learned State counsel vehemently opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner. He, upon instructions, submits that challan has already been presented qua the petitioner.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. In view of the above submissions of learned counsel and the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 21.02.2025, the injuries are declared to be simple in nature, the matter is stated to have been compromised between the parties and the trial is likely to take a long time, therefore, the continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court deems it fit to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.

6. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

7. However, it is made clear that in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of his bail.

**(H.S.GREWAL)**  
**JUDGE**

**07.07.2025**

A.Kaundal

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No