



**CRM-M-18693-2025**

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**214**

**CRM-M-18693-2025  
Date of Decision: 09.04.2025**

**ASHA**

**... PETITIONER**

**VERSUS**

**STATE OF HARYANA**

**... RESPONDENT**

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL**

Present:- Mr. Parveen Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

**H.S. Grewal, J.(Oral)**

1. The petitioner is seeking regular bail under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (earlier Section 439 of Cr.P.C) in FIR No. 141 dated 10.03.2023 (Annexure P-1) registered under Section 302 of IPC (later Section 34 of IPC added) at Police Station Sonipat City, District Sonipat.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been implicated in the present case on the statement of the complainant wherein he named the petitioner along with the co-accused namely Sagar in the alleged incident. He further submits that co-accused Sagar had given stab blows with *Sua* to the deceased and the petitioner had caught hold of the deceased. The petitioner is not involved in any other criminal case.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Parveen Kumar Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana appears and accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. Learned State counsel vehemently opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner on the ground that



**CRM-M-18693-2025**

-2-

the allegations against the petitioner are serious in nature. He has filed the custody certificate of the petitioner, which is taken on record. As per the custody certificate, the petitioner is in custody for the last 02 years, 12 days. Learned State counsel further submits that out of 20 cited prosecution witnesses only 6 have been examined.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. In view of the above submission of learned counsels and the fact that the petitioner is a lady; the trial is likely to take long time; the petitioner is in custody for the last more than two years and continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.

7. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on her furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

8. It is clarified that if, on bail so granted through the instant order, the applicant is found indulging in any other criminal case it shall be open to the State to seek cancellation of his bail.

**(H.S.GREWAL)**  
**JUDGE**

**09.04.2025**

*renu*

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No