



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(209-2)

RSA No. 4115 of 2007 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 29.09.2025

Dildar Ahmed and another

...Appellants

Versus

Taj Mohd. and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: None for the appellants.

Mr. Arun Jindal, Advocate and
Mr. Kanish Jindal, Advocate for respondent No. 1.

Respondents No. 2, 4 and 5 ex-parte v.o.d. 03.12.2008.

Amarinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

1. On the last date of hearing, there was no representation on behalf of the appellants. However, the case was adjourned in the interest of justice, with direction to the learned counsel for the appellants to bring on record the legal representatives of appellant No. 2 as well as respondent No. 3, who have since expired. However, no steps have been taken till date for impleading the legal representatives. Even today, none has appeared on behalf of the appellants despite due intimation sent to learned counsel through e-mail.

2. In view of the above, the present appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution. However, liberty is granted to the legal heirs of the appellants to seek revival of the appeal in accordance with law.

3. Pending miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

September 29, 2025
kanchan

(AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No