



101

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

RSA-1372-1996 (O&M)
Date of decision: 03.09.2025

D.P. Batta ...Appellant

Versus

Haryana Warehousing Corporation and another ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Abhinav Sood, Advocate for
Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate for the respondents.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. The present plaintiff-appellant had filed a suit for declaration in the year 1993 challenging the order vide which minor penalty had been imposed and some recovery had also been ordered. The said suit was dismissed and appeal filed therefrom by the plaintiff was also dismissed.

2. A perusal of the orders passed by this Court would show that there is no stay order in favour of the present appellant-plaintiff.

3. Learned counsel for the appellant has very fairly submitted that in spite of his best efforts, neither the appellant nor any one from his family has contacted his office so as to inform as to whether any cause survives in the present appeal or not and thus, submits that the present appeal be disposed of but liberty be granted to the appellant to revive the same in case any cause survives.

4. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present appeal is disposed of with liberty aforesaid.

03.09.2025

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No