

249

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No.1531 of 2019
Date of Decision: 17.09.2019

Mangaljit Singh

Petitioner

Versus

Parampal Singh, Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar and
others

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Rakesh Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kanisth Ganeriwala, A.A.G., Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

The present contempt petition has been filed pleading wilful disobedience of order dated 30.05.2018 passed by this Court in CRM-M No.24015 of 2018.

The relevant portion of the order is reproduced as under:-

“Notice of motion.

2. Petitioners are stated to be major and they wanted to marry each other. However, it is being objected by respondents No.4 to 6. In this regard, a representation dated 28.5.2018 (Annexure P-1) is submitted to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar Rural. Since petitioners are only seeking for issuance of direction to the official respondents, therefore, service of notice to respondents No.4 to 6 is dispensed.

3. On the asking of the Court, Ms. Rajni Gupta, Sr.

D.A.G. Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents State. Learned counsel for the petitioners is directed to furnish requisite sets of paper-book to the learned counsel for the respondents-State during the course of the day.

4. Accordingly, petition is disposed of with a direction to the official respondents to take necessary action on the petitioners' representation at the earliest."

Replies filed by respondents No.1 to 3 are taken on record. Copy of replies are handed over to learned counsel for the petitioner.

Learned State counsel submits that representation was looked into but as per allegations, petitioners (in the writ petition) were not found on the given address. Later on, FIR was registered against the petitioner (in contempt petition) on statement of Manpreet Kaur (one of the petitioner in CRM-M No.24015 of 2018) and he is in custody.

In view of above, learned counsel for the petitioner states that no cause of action survives for pursuing the contempt petition. However, he seeks liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law.

The contempt petition is disposed of with liberty as prayed for.

The rule issued against the respondents stands discharged.

**[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE**

September 17, 2019

pankaj baweja

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes / No
2. Whether reportable : Yes / No