



**CRA-AS-249-2022 (O&M)**  
**[arising out of CRM-A-2262-2019]** 1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

270

**CRA-AS-249-2022 (O&M)**  
**[arising out of CRM-A-2262-2019]**  
**Date of Decision: September 16, 2025**

**Surender Kumar Aggarwal**

**.....Appellant.**

**Versus**

**Rajesh Kumar and another**

**.....Non-applicant(s)/Respondent(s)**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH**

Present: Mr. Sourav, Advocate, for the appellant.

\*\*\*

**SANJAY VASHISTH, J.**

1. Appellant – Surender Kumar Aggarwal had filed an application, under Section 378(4) Cr.P.C., seeking grant of leave to appeal against the judgment of acquittal dated 19.01.2019, passed by learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Faridabad, in Complaint case bearing No. 2507/2016, CIS No. 4813 of 2016, instituted on 03.12.2016, under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881, titled as ‘Surender Kumar Aggarwal v. Rajesh Kumar and another’, whereby the complaint filed by him was been dismissed by acquitting the accused.

The said application was allowed by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, vide order dated 01.09.2022. Consequently, appeal No. CRA-AS-249-2022 has been assigned to the present case.

2. Shorn off the factual matrix of the present case, it is suffice to notice that in the recent mandate of law laid down by Hon’ble the Apex Court, in the case of **M/s Celestium Financial v. A. Gnanasekaran etc.** [Criminal Appeal Nos. 1868-70 of 2025, decided on 08.04.2025, reported as 2025 (3) RCR (Criminal) 208 : Law Finder Doc Id # 2737710 : 2025 SCC OnLine SC 1320], their Lordships’ have answered the issue in affirmative that whether an appeal would be maintainable under the proviso to Section 372 Cr.P.C.





**CRA-AS-249-2022 (O&M)**  
**[arising out of CRM-A-2262-2019]**                      **3**

concerned Court shall decide the appeal on merits as per law, as expeditiously as possible.

Since similar directions are being passed by this Court in number of cases, the concerned Appellate Court need not to adhere to the delay aspect, if any, involved in the case, for the purpose of considering the issue raised in the appeal and its disposal on merit.

7.            The Registry is directed to transmit this order alongwith copy of the complete paper-book of this case, as also return the record of the Trial Court, if received, to the learned Sessions Judge, Faridabad, forthwith.

8.            Disposed of accordingly.

9.            Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

**( SANJAY VASHISTH )**  
**JUDGE**

**September 16, 2025**

Pk Kapoor

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
Whether reportable	No