



COCP No.3184 of 2024 (O&M)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

146

**COCP No.3184 of 2024 (O&M)**

**Date of Decision: 26.03.2025**

**ANJU**

**.....Petitioner**

**Vs**

**ROOPAM, CHAIRMAN, BUILDING COMMITTEE SESSION DIVISION  
GURUGRAM, HARYANA**

**...Respondent**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA**

Present: Mr. Sumit Singh Bairagi, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kshitij Sharma, Advocate with  
Ms. Tamanna Banwala, Advocate  
for the respondent.

\*\*\*

**HARKESH MANUJA, J. (Oral)**

1. By way of present petition filed under Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, prayer has been made for initiation of proceedings against the respondent on account of alleged willful violation of orders dated 08.04.2024 & 27.02.2024 passed by this Court in CWP No.7895 of 2024 and CWP No.3658 of 2024 respectively. The order dated 08.04.2024 was based upon the decision dated 27.02.2024 passed by this Court in CWP No.3658 of 2024, the operative part thereof is extracted hereunder:-

*“The present petition is accordingly disposed of as not pressed at this stage with a direction to the petitioners to remove the construction of porta cabins from the roof of Chamber No.123, Block-A, Judicial Complex, Gurugram within the period as undertaken by them on 09.02.2024 and reiterated today in Court. The Building Committee of Sessions Division, Gurugram is also directed to carry out a survey of all porta cabins that have been constructed in violation of the building plan/lay out plan and the same are directed to be removed forthwith from Lawyers' Chambers, Blocks A and B.*



**COCP No.3184 of 2024 (O&M)**

*The petitioners would, however, be at liberty to initiate action against the responsible person in the District Bar Association, Gurugram for having made an allotment of porta cabin, in violation of the approved building plan and may pursue such action as is available to them under law.*

*Petition stands disposed of accordingly.”*

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per direction issued by the Writ Court vide order dated 27.02.2024, the respondent was required to take action against all the lawyers chambers in Block A & B in case the construction was in violation of the building plan/lay out plan.

3. On the other hand, learned counsel for the respondent submits that perusal of the direction issued vide order dated 27.02.2024 was confined only to carry out survey of porta cabins that were constructed in violation of sanctioned building plan/lay out plan in the lawyers chambers in Block A & B. He further submits that upon survey conducted by the District Building Committee of Session Division, Gurugram along with the Executive Engineer, PWD (B&R), Gurugram, it has been found that only 70 porta cabins are in existence over the lawyers chamber in Block A & B which is even less than the approved/sanctioned number of 81 porta cabins and, thus, no contempt is made out against the respondent.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and gone through the paper book. I am unable to find substance in the submissions made on behalf of learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. Perusal of the order dated 27.02.2024 clearly shows that the direction was to conduct a survey of porta cabins situated at first floor of lawyers chambers in Block A & B which has already been carried out and even found less number of porta cabins than the approved/sanctioned number of porta cabins. No direction

2025:PHHC:041435



**COCP No.3184 of 2024 (O&M)**

was ever issued vide order dated 27.02.2024 or even by way of order dated 08.04.2024 that any kind of survey was to be conducted of the lawyers chambers in Block A & B.

6. In such circumstances, no cause survives in the instant petition. The same stands dismissed. Rule stands discharged.

7. All pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

**March 26, 2025**

*Atik*

**(HARKESH MANUJA)**

**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No