



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

130

CRM-M-1623-2025  
Decided on : 17.02.2025

Pawanpreet Singh @ Pawandeep Singh @ Pawan and another  
... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others  
... Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH**

PRESENT: Mr. Vikas Gupta, Advocate  
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Amandeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*

**SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)**

1. Petitioners have filed the present petition under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023 for quashing of FIR No. 05, dated 05.01.2025, under Sections 109, 351(3), 324(4), 190, 191(3) of BNS, 2023 and under Sections 25, 27 of the Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station City Tarn Taran, District Tarn Taran (Annexure P-1) and all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of the compromise dated 08.01.2025 (Annexure P-2), effected between the parties.

2. On 15.01.2025, following order was passed:-

*“ The present quashing petition based upon compromise has been filed by two of the accused namely Pawanpreet Singh alias Pawandeep Singh alias Pawan and Joban Singh alias Jobanpreet Singh, who are accused in FIR No.05 dated 05.01.2025, under Sections 109, 351(3), 324(4), 190, 191(3) of BNS, 2023 and Sections 25, 27 of the Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station City, Tarn Taran, District Tarn Taran (Annexure P-1).*

*As per version of the FIR, both the petitioners were accompanying 4-5 other unidentified persons, who were armed with*



*datars and swords and they had entered the street on their vehicles and reached near the house of the complainant. Another contention in the FIR is that the unidentified individuals damaged the house using swords and kirpans and gave more fire shots resulting into the hitting of one pallet on the right knee of the neighbour namely Kulwinder Kaur.*

*Pursuant to advance notice, Mr. Amandeep Singh, DAG, Punjab puts in appearance on behalf of respondent-State and prays for time to file Status Report.*

*Mr. Vivek Singla, Advocate has also put in appearance on behalf of respondents no.2 and 3 and filed his 'Power of Attorney', which is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions.*

*Let Status Report be filed by the State Counsel.*

*Adjourned to 17.02.2025.*

*Meanwhile, reply, if any, on behalf of respondents no.2 and 3, be also filed."*

3. Today, learned State counsel has filed the status report dated 15.02.2025, in Court today, which is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions. Office to tag the same at appropriate place.

A copy thereof has been handed over to the counsel for the petitioners.

4. Learned State Counsel points out that the incident took place on 05.01.2025, and immediately thereafter, to hush up the matter, only two of the petitioners entered into a compromise on 08.01.2025 and have filed the instant petition on 13.01.2025.

Further submits that as per the FIR, there are 4/5 other unknown persons, who were armed with *datars/swords* and fired shots from the pistol towards complainant with an intention to kill him. In the said incident, one woman namely; Kulwinder Kaur, had suffered firearm injuries.

5. Learned State Counsel, thus, submits that such an issue can neither be compromised nor can the prosecution agency be stopped from



finding out other assailants; therefore, the present compromise petition cannot be allowed to quash the FIR and the subsequent proceedings, whereas the investigation is still going on.

6. Faced with the situation, counsel for the petitioners seeks withdrawal of the present petition.

7. Dismissed as withdrawn.

**(SANJAY VASHISTH)  
JUDGE**

**February 17, 2025**

*J.Ram*

*Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No*

*Whether Reportable: Yes/No*