



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Writ Petition No. 12510 of 2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 01.10.2025**

Mahender Singh and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

Haryana State Industrial and Infrastructure
Development Corporation, Limited, Panchkula
and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Chanderhas Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Pritam Singh Saini, Advocate
for respondent No. 1-HSIIDC.

Mr. Abhinav Kalia, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana
for respondent No. 2.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the present writ petition made on behalf the
petitioners reads as under:-

“ Civil Writ Petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India with a prayer for issuance of a writ, order or direction in the nature of Certiorari for quashing the order dated 20.10.2023 (P-4) passed by Ld. District Revenue Officer-cum-Land Acquisition Collector, Jhajjar, while passing the same, the law laid down by Hon'ble Division Bench of the Hon'ble High Court in case titled as “Prem Singh Versus State of Haryana and another” (P-6) was not taken into consideration. Therefore, the said order deserves to be set aside.

It is also prayed that during the pendency of the present petition the respondents may kindly be restrained from enforcing condition No. 3 of the operative part of the

impugned order (P-4), in the present case, in the interest of justice.”

[2] At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the present controversy is squarely covered by the judgment dated 29.09.2025 passed by this Court in **CWP No. 2493 of 2024** titled as **“Hardwari (since deceased) thr. his LRs and others Versus Haryana State Industrial and Infrastructure Development Corp. Ltd. and another”**.

[3] Learned counsel(s) for the respondent(s) are not in a position to controvert the above factual position.

[4] After going through the judgment passed by this Court referred to above as well as the present case, this Court agrees with the assertion of the learned counsel for the petitioners.

[5] Consequently, the present writ petition is **disposed off** in the same terms as **Hardwari’s case (supra)**.

[6] Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

October 01, 2025

'dk kamra'

**(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE**

<i>Whether Speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>