



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-52041-2025
Date of Decision: 16.09.2025**

MAHIPAL

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE AARADHNA SAWHNEY

Present: - Mr.Nitsh Yadav, Advocate, for the petitioner.

AARADHNA SAWHNEY, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner, who claims to be a victim of fraud perpetrated on him by one Narender son of Banwari Lal and bank officials of Canara Bank (branch at Dharuhera), has filed the present petition, praying therein to issue appropriate directions to respondents No.2 & 3, to decide representations dated 19.03.2025 (Annexure P5) and 09.04.2025 (Annexure P6), respectively.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that on the asking of Narender son of Banwari Lal, petitioner visited the branch of Canara Bank at Dharuhera in 2016. At that point on time, he was made to believe that his signatures are needed as attesting witness on some loan procurement documents. Relying upon the said statement, he appended his signatures and some photographs were also taken. It was in 2024, when he received summons from the Lok Adalat, Rewari that he became aware of the calculated fraud on him by aforesaid Narender in collusion with the bank officials and was disclosed that he had taken a loan of ₹27,27,340/- and had not repaid.

In this regard, he also filed representations to the local police officials to look into the matter, but of no avail.



CRM-M-52041-2025

3. Notice of motion to official respondents only.
4. On the asking of the Court, Ms. Shweta Nahata, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3-State.
5. After considering the respective submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner and the averments made in the petition, without commenting on the merits thereof, the present petition is disposed of with direction to respondent Nos.2 & 3 to consider and dispose of the representations dated 19.03.2025 (Annexure P-5) and 09.04.2025 (Annexure P6), respectively, within a period of one month, in accordance with law in an expeditious manner. The decision so taken be intimated to the petitioner as well.

16.09.2025

Vivek

**(AARADHNA SAWHNEY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No