



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-PIL-140-2025

Date of decision : 01.07.2025

Hardial Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Simaranjit Singh Sarwara, Advocate, for
Mr. Sarbjeet Singh, Advocate, and
Mr. Harpreet Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurav Verma, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Gian Chand Garg, Advocate,
for respondent No.3.

SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed as a Public Interest Litigation (PIL) raising the alleged public cause to replace two obsolete fire tenders of 1991 and 2000 model with two technically advanced fire tenders and to provide the same to Fire Fighting Branch, Municipal Council, Rajpura, District Patiala.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that failure to modernize the abovesaid models endangers public safety, and is deleterious to efficient Fire Services.



3. The allegations made in this petition are those in regard to which multiple representations since 2019 have been submitted by the petitioner to various authorities and, therefore, this Court would first prefer such authorities to dwell upon the aforesaid grievance of the petitioner, which has already been aired by way of latest representation dated 16.04.2025 (Annexure P-12).

4. Learned counsel for the respondents submit that they have no objection in case appropriate directions are issued to the competent authority to decide the representation dated 16.04.2025 (Annexure P-12).

5. Accordingly, without commenting upon merits of the matter, this Court disposes of this petition with a direction to respondents to look into the grievance raised by the petitioner in his representation dated 16.04.2025 (Annexure P-12) and decide the same by passing a speaking order within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

6. A copy of the outcome of the representation be supplied to the petitioner.

7. In case, the grievance of the petitioner remains un-redressed, he is at liberty to re-visit this Court.

(SHEEL NAGU)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

July 01, 2025
narotam

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No