

COCP-4867-2025

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(108)

COCP-4867-2025

Date of decision: - 14.10.2025

Daljit Kaur and another

....Petitioners

Versus

Shubham Agarwal, IPS, SSP, Fatehgarh Sahib

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Gaurav Datta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sandeep Singh, AAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the respondent has submitted that in compliance to the order dated 29.07.2025 (Annexure P-1), the compliance report dated 13.10.2025 has been submitted and further has referred to the speaking order passed on the representation of the petitioners which is stated to be annexed as Annexure R-1 along with the true translation. It is further submitted that there is due compliance of the order dated 29.07.2025 (Annexure P-1) and thus, the present petition be disposed of.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that in the said speaking order (Annexure R-1), there is reference to the fact that in case the petitioners want to take paid security, then, the local police has no objection. It is further submitted that the present petition be disposed of, but liberty be granted to the petitioners to make a representation to the

Senior Superintendent of Police, Fatehgarh Sahib with a prayer for getting paid security as the petitioners are ready to pay for the same. It is prayed that in case such a representation is made by the petitioners, the Senior Superintendent of Police, Fatehgarh Sahib be directed to consider and decide the said representation as expeditiously as possible and since the representation deals with the life and liberty of the petitioners, the same be done in a time bound manner.

3. Learned State counsel has submitted that in case any such representation with respect to paid security is made to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Fatehgarh Sahib, then, the said representation would be considered in accordance with the rules and in accordance with law and the decision on the said representation would be taken as expeditiously as possible, preferably, within a period of one week from the date of receipt of the said representation.

4. Keeping in view of the above-said facts and circumstances, the present revision is disposed of with the liberty as sought by the petitioners. The State would be bound by the statement made before this Court.

October 14, 2025
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No