

2025:PHHC:057849



**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

210

CWP-23200-2017
Date of Decision: 02.05.2025

BHUPENDER SINGH

... Petitioner

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Mr. Sarvesh Malik, Advocate for
Mr. Hitesh Malik, Advocate for
the petitioner.

Mr. Aseem Aggarwal, Standing Counsel
for the respondents.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

The present petition had been filed for seeking setting aside the order dated 27.07.2017 passed by respondent No.2- Director General, Coast Guard, Coast Guard Headquarters, New Delhi discharging the petitioner from service.

It is evident from the perusal of the impugned order that the reason assigned for discharging the petitioner from service was that the petitioner passed 10+2 Examination/Senior Secondary Examination from Council of Secondary Education (C.S.E.), Mohali in the year 2011, which was not recognized either by the Central Government or by the concerned State Government. The instant writ petition was adjourned *sine die* to await outcome of the Writ Petition (Civil) No.676 of 2014 which was then pending before the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

The aforesaid Writ Petition (Civil), filed by the Council for Secondary Education espousing its right to establish a Board which grants recognition to Secondary and Higher Secondary Schools in the State of Punjab, was dismissed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide judgment dated 27.11.2024.

In view of the above and noticing that the eligibility was claimed by the petitioner by dint of his certificate of Senior Secondary Education obtained from the Council for Secondary Education, which such Board is not recognized either by the Central Government or by the concerned State Government and its rights also stands rejected by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, I find that there is no illegality or perversity in the order of discharging the petitioner from service.

The instant writ petition is accordingly dismissed at this stage.

In case the aforesaid factual aspect is found to be incorrect, liberty is granted to the petitioner to seek revival of the present petition.

MAY 02, 2025.

Rajender

**(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No