



208 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-9363-2022

Date of Decision: 25.07.2025

Hardeep Chand

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and Others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Sahil Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of final list of selected candidates under BC Category.
2. The petitioner pursuant to Advertisement No.2/2021 dated 16.07.2021 applied for the post of Constable. He is claiming that respondent has made wrong selection with respect to candidates belonging to BC Category.
3. On 04.07.2025, the following order was passed:

“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there are a couple of candidates who belong to General Category, however, they have been selected under BC Category. They appeared in the written test under General Category and their result was declared in the General Category and they were invited for document verification under General Category and in the final result their names

figured in BC Category. He for the sake of convenience pointed out name of Jashandeep Singh s/o Gurjant Singh and Rajat s/o Kuldip Singh.

Learned State counsel is directed to place on record documents of both the candidates to verify whether they belong to General Category or BC Category.

Adjourned to 18.07.2025.”

4. Pursuant to aforesaid order, Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab produced documents of Jashandeep Singh son of Gurjant Singh and Rajat son of Kuldip Kumar.

5. From the perusal of documents, it is evident that both the candidates applied under BC Category. They scored more marks than candidates belonging to General Category, thus, they were considered under General Category. The documents prove that neither Jashandeep Singh nor Rajat applied General Category. They had applied under BC Category and they were selected under General Category because they scored more marks than candidates belonging to General Category.

6. In the wake of aforesaid facts and findings, there is no substance in the contention of the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the writ petition is hereby dismissed.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

25.07.2025
Prince Chawla

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No