



CRWP-8018-2025(O&M)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

118

CRWP-8018-2025(O&M)

Decided on: 25.07.2025

Harpeet Singh Kalar and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Gourav Bhayyia Gilhotra, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG, Punjab.
(appeared on advance notice)

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. Present petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of directions to official respondents No. 1 to 4 for protecting life and liberty of petitioners at the hands of respondent No.5.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that respondent No. 5 entered into an agreement to sell 8 acres of land situated at Village Jargari, Tehsil Payal, District Ludhiana, on 17.11.2023. The petitioners have repeatedly requested respondent No. 5 to execute the sale deed in their favour. It is further submitted that a civil dispute regarding 2.5 acres of the said land is already pending before the competent civil court—a fact that was allegedly never disclosed by respondent No. 5 at the time of entering into the agreement. Aggrieved by the concealment and inaction, the petitioners requested respondent No. 5 either to return the earnest money pertaining to the 2.25 acres of undisputed land or to execute the

**CRWP-8018-2025(O&M)**

sale deed in their favour. However, respondent No. 5 has allegedly been avoiding his obligations on one pretext or another. Subsequently, respondent No. 5 is also stated to have attempted to assault and threaten the petitioners. In this regard, the petitioners have submitted various representations to the concerned authorities (Annexures P-2 and P-3), and hence, have approached this Court for appropriate relief.

3. Since the dispute relates to the possession of land which has, in fact, been purchased by the petitioner, this Court finds that the matter is purely civil in nature. It is also stated that a portion of the land is already under dispute before the civil court.

In view of the above, no directions are required to be issued in the present writ petition and same is hereby dismissed.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

July 25, 2025
rashmi

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: **YES/NO**
Whether Reportable: **YES/NO**