



136 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.23183 of 2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 11.08.2025

RAJINDER KUMAR BANSAL

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present: Mr. Ishan Gupta, Ms. Deepali Jindal, Mr. Sahil Matharoo and
Ms. Muskan Gupta, Advocates, for the petitioner.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the respondents have illegally demanded Preferred Location Charges (PLC) which were paid by the petitioner under protest. He submits that a similar petition bearing CWP-9717-2019 titled *Daulat Ram Bhatti Vs. State of Punjab and others*, and other connected matters has been allowed on 20.02.2025 whereby the demand raised of additional PLC was quashed and further directions were issued to GMADA for the refund of the amount paid towards PLC by the petitioner therein.

Issue notice to the respondents.

Mr. Kuljeet Singh, Addl. A.G. Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent No.1. Ms. Avin Sandhu, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of the respondents No.2 and 3. Learned counsel for the respondents do not dispute that the petitioner is squarely covered by the judgment in the case of *Daulat Ram Bhatti* (supra).

The petition stands disposed of in the same terms as the judgment in the case of *Daulat Ram Bhatti* (supra).

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)

11.08.2025

JUDGE

sandeep

Whether Speaking/Reasoned :

Yes/No

Whether Reportable :

Yes/No