

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

136

**INCOMP-679-2025**

**Date of decision: 29.04.2025**

SURINDER KUMAR TINDWAL AND OTHERS

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ**

\*\*\*\*\*

Present: - None for the petitioners.

\*\*\*\*\*

**VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)**

The aforesaid petition was filed on 14.08.2024 by Mr. Raman B. Garg, Advocate. The Registry had raised objection(s) on the case file on 20.08.2024.

As per Rule 9, Chapter 1, Part-A, Volume V, Punjab and Haryana High Court Rules and Orders, the petition on which objections have been raised by the Registry is to be taken back by the counsel/party, who filed it, to be re-filed within a total period of 40 days. The same was not taken back for re-filing after removal of objection(s). On failure, the case is to be listed before the Court for orders. Note was regularly published by the Registry in the cause list, whereby the Advocate(s) were requested to collect all their case(s) lying with objections.

No steps for collection of the petition for re-filing of the same after removal of objection(s) have been taken by the Advocate. It seems that the Advocate has no further interest and the petitioner(s) do(es) not intend to pursue the matter.

Keeping in view of the aforesaid factual matrix, no further order is required to be passed.

Disposed of accordingly.

**(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)**

**JUDGE**

**APRIL 29, 2024**

*Vishal Sharma*

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether Reportable : Yes/No