



LPA-1225-2024 (O&M)

Page 1 of 2

113

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**LPA-1225-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 11.03.2025**

HC RAJBIR

. . . . Appellant

Vs.

THE STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

. . . . Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE KIRTI SINGH**

Present: Mr. Aditya Yadav, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Sanjeev Kaushik, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J.(Oral)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the appellant has been very fair to the Court, and submits that in similar appeals assailing the same order of the Single Judge which is a common order, the order of the Single Judge has been upheld by this Court.
2. We also notice that this Court vide order dated 13.08.2024 had directed the status report to be filed. The SP, Sirsa has filed the status report, and the same is taken on record.
3. In *Asi Pawan Kumar vs. State of Haryana and others, LPA-1146-2024, decided on 08.05.2024*, this Court has passed the following order:

“5. We have carefully considered the submissions and found that the learned Single Judge, has before dealing each case, examined the law governing the issue at



LPA-1225-2024 (O&M)

Page 2 of 2

length and after having culled out the law as to whether departmental proceedings can be allowed to run concurrently with the criminal proceedings; he has thus examined each case on fact. In the present case, we find that the charge sheet issued to the petitioner mentions the following allegations for which the departmental proceedings are required to be conducted namely “demanding bribe and not joining the investigation”.

*6. This Court is satisfied that the said aspect cannot be examined in the criminal case and departmental proceedings therefore need not be stayed or stalled during the criminal case. The law does not require departmental proceedings otherwise be stayed. In view of the settled law in **Capt. M. Paul Anthony v. Bharat God Mines Ltd.**” reported as 1999 (3) SCC 679, the present appeal is dismissed.”*

4. In view of above, present appeal is accordingly dismissed.
5. All pending applications also stand disposed of.

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)
JUDGE

(KIRTI SINGH)
JUDGE

11.03.2025

Mohit goyal

- | | |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable? | Yes/No |