



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

295-2

CRM-M-20789-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 05.05.2025

Amit and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. S.K. Verma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Harkesh Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (Oral)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023, seeking anticipatory bail to the petitioners in FIR No.463 dated 25.11.2024 under Sections 115, 126, 190, 191(2), 191(3), 351(2) of the BNS, 2023 and Sections 115(2), 126(2), 117(2) and 117(3) (added later on), registered at Police Station Sadar Jind, District Jind.

2. On 22.04.2025, the following order was passed:-

“XX XX XX XX

Learned counsel for the petitioners inter alia contends that even as per the case of the prosecution, the petitioners were not present when the occurrence in question initially took place; the petitioners arrived later on and that too, to pacify the two warring groups. It has been submitted that even otherwise, it is a case of version and cross-version with no role or specific injury being attributed to the petitioners. Both the sides in the occurrence in question sustained injuries at the hands of each other. Learned counsel has further submitted that identically placed co-accused Rameshwar and Gulab



Singh have since been extended the concession of bail by this Court vide order dated 27.01.2025 and 01.03.2025 respectively (Annexures P-3 and P-4).

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. H.S. Deol, Sr. DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and seeks time to get necessary instructions.

Adjourned to 05.05.2025.

Meanwhile, the petitioners are directed to join the investigation and appear before the investigating agency, as and when called upon to do so. In the event of their arrest, they shall be admitted to interim bail on their furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioners shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C./482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

To be heard along with CRM-M-4184-2025.”

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Vazir Singh, at the very outset, informs the Court that the petitioners have joined the investigation and their custodial interrogation is not required.
4. In view of the statement of learned State counsel, order dated 22.04.2025 is hereby made absolute. The petitioners shall abide by the terms and conditions envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS (*erstwhile Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973*).
5. The petition stands disposed of.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

05.05.2025

yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No