



112

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCP-3759-2025

Date of decision: 29.09.2025

**MUKESH DUTT KAUSHIK****..Petitioner****Versus****CHANDER SHEKHAR KHARE, IAS AND OTHERS****..Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA**

Present: Mr. Ashish Jhamb, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Shivendra Swaroop, Advocate  
Ms. Ashna Singh, Advocate  
Ms. Sharvi Dadhwal, Advocate  
Mr. Siddharth Arora, Advocate  
for respondents.

**SUDEEPTI SHARMA, J. (Oral)**

1. The contempt petition has been filed for deliberate and intentional disobedience of order dated 30.04.2025 passed in CWP-5855-2025, which is reproduced as under:-

*“Present petition herein, inter alia, is for issuance of a Writ in the nature of Certiorari for quashing the impugned order (Annexure P-9) passed by the respondents, whereby the allotment of plot under oustee category has been rejected, and the same is a non-speaking order.*

*2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the impugned order be quashed only qua petitioner as no reason has been mentioned against the name of the petitioner in the aforesaid impugned order, by the authorities, while rejecting his application*



*3. From the perusal of the order (Annexure P-9), which is apparently under challenge in this petition, it appears that no reason has been assigned by the authorities concerned while rejecting the application of the petitioner.*

*4. In view of the above and without commenting on the merits of the case, the impugned order (Annexure P-9) is quashed only qua the petitioner, and the matter is remanded to the authorities concerned to pass a reasoned order on the application of the petitioner after affording him an opportunity of personal hearing, in accordance with law, within a period of 06 weeks from the date of receipt of copy/ production of this order.*

*5. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.”*

2. Learned counsel for respondents contends that in compliance of order dated 30.04.2025, affidavit of Anupma Malik, Estate Officer-II, HSVP, Gurugram, dated 03.09.2025 has already been filed.

3. The relevant para of affidavit dated 03.09.2025 is reproduced as under:-

*“4. That pursuant to the order dated 30.04.2025(Annexure P-1) passed by the Hon'ble Division Bench of this Hon'ble Court, the claim of the petitioner was examined and he was found eligible for allotment of 4 Marla Category plot under oustees category and accordingly he was informed to participate in draw of lots to be held on 12.08.2025 under the chairmanship of the Administrator, HSVP, Gurugram and subsequently, Plot No. 637A, Sector 40, Gurugram has been earmarked for the petitioner.*

*5. That it is further respectfully submitted that allotment letter qua plot no. 637A, Sector 40, Gurugram shall be*



*issued in favour of the petitioner once he furnishes an undertaking that no other plot under reserved category including oustees category has been allotted to him in any Urban estates of Haryana as per policy guidelines dated 11.08.2016.”*

4. A perusal of affidavit dated 03.09.2025 shows that order dated 30.04.2025 passed in CWP-5855-2025, has been complied with. This fact is not disputed by learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. In view of the above, contempt is purged and rule is discharged.

**September 29<sup>th</sup>, 2025**

*Ayub*

**(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)  
JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned* : *Yes/No*

*Whether reportable* : *Yes/No*